Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/789,965	ADAMS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	James H. Alstrum-Acevedo	1616

All Participants:

(1) *James H. Alstrum-Acevedo*.

(2) *Ms. Carol A. Schneider, J.D.*.

Status of Application: *Abandonded*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *17 April 2007*

Time: *~5:49 pm EST*

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
None

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicants' attorney, Ms. Carol A. Schneider to confirm the status of the instant application. Attorney Schneider indicated she would call the Examiner back and confirm the instant application's status. Ms. Schneider, J.D. left a voice mail message for Examiner Alstrum-Acevedo indicating that Applicants did not file a response to the office aciton mailed on September 18, 2006 and have opted to let the instant application go abandoned..